





# Photonic microwave oscillators

E. Rubiola, K. Volyanskiy, H. Tavernier, Y. Kouomou Chembo, R. Bendoula, P. Salzenstein, J. Cussey, X. Jouvenceau, L. Larger

> FEMTO-ST Institute, Besançon, France CNRS and Université de Franche Comté

#### Outline

- \* Phase noise and frequency stability
- \* Delay-line instrument
- \* Correlation instrument
- \* Delay line oscillator
- \* Nonlinear AM oscillations
- \* Optical resonators

#### home page <a href="http://rubiola.org">http://rubiola.org</a>

# Phase and amplitude noise noise



 $v(t) = V_0 \left[ 1 + \alpha(t) \right] \cos \left[ \omega_0 t + \varphi(t) \right]$ polar coordinates **Cartesian coordinates**  $\mathcal{U}$ 

$$V(t) = V_0 \cos \omega_0 t + n_c(t) \cos \omega_0 t - n_s(t) \sin \omega_0 t$$

under low noise approximation

$$|n_c(t)| \ll V_0$$
 and  $|n_s(t)| \ll V_0$ 

It holds that

$$\alpha(t) = \frac{n_c(t)}{V_0}$$
 and  $\varphi(t) = \frac{n_s(t)}{V_0}$ 

#### Phase noise & friends



E. Rubiola, Phase Noise and Frequency Stability in Oscillators, Cambridge 2008

### Amplifier white noise



#### **Cascaded amplifiers (Friis formula)**

The (phase) noise is chiefly that of the 1st stage



The Friis formula applied to phase noise  $b_0 = \frac{F_1 k T_0}{P_0} + \frac{(F_2 - 1) k T_0}{P_0 g_1^2} + \dots$  4

# Amplifier flicker noise



carrier near-dc noise  

$$v_i(t) = V_i e^{j\omega_0 t} + n'(t) + jn''(t)$$
the parametric nature of I/f  
noise is hidden in n' and n"

Substitute (careful, this hides the down-conversion)

 $v_o(t) = a_1 v_i(t) + a_2 v_i^2(t) + \dots$ non-linear (parametric)amplifier

expand and select the  $\omega_0$  terms

$$v_o(t) = V_i \Big\{ a_1 + 2a_2 \big[ n'(t) + j n''(t) \big] \Big\} e^{j\omega_0 t}$$

The noise sidebands are proportional to the input carrier

get AM and PM noise

$$\alpha(t) = 2 \frac{a_2}{a_1} n'(t) \qquad \varphi(t) = 2 \frac{a_2}{a_1} n''(t)$$

The AM and the PM noise are independent of V<sub>i</sub>, thus of power

### **Delay line theory**

Rubiola-Salik-Huang-Yu-Maleki, JOSA-B 22(5) p.987–997 (2005)



 long delay (microseconds) is necessary for high sensitivity

 the delay line must be an optical fiber fiber: attenuation 0.2 dB/km, thermal coeff. 6.8 10<sup>-6</sup>/K cable: attenuation 0.8 dB/m, thermal coeff. ~ 10<sup>-3</sup>/K Laplace transforms

$$\Phi(s) = H_{\varphi}(s)\Phi_i(s)$$
$$|H_{\varphi}(f)|^2 = 4\sin^2(\pi f\tau)$$

$$S_y(f) = |H_y(f)|^2 S_{\varphi i}(s)$$

$$|H_y(f)|^2 = \frac{4\nu_0^2}{f^2} \sin^2(\pi f\tau)$$



# White noise

intensity modulation

$$P(t) = \overline{P}(1 + m\cos\omega_{\mu}t)$$

photocurrent

$$i(t) = \frac{q\eta}{h\nu} \overline{P}(1 + m\cos\omega_{\mu}t)$$

microwave power

$$\overline{P}_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} m^2 R_0 \left(\frac{q\eta}{h\nu}\right)^2 P^2$$

shot noise

$$N_s = 2\frac{q^2\eta}{h\nu}\,\overline{P}R_0$$

thermal noise

$$N_t = FkT_0$$

total white noise (one detector)

$$S_{\varphi 0} = \frac{2}{m^2} \left[ 2 \frac{h\nu_{\lambda}}{\eta} \frac{1}{\overline{P}} + \frac{FkT_0}{R_0} \left( \frac{h\nu_{\lambda}}{q\eta} \right)^2 \left( \frac{1}{\overline{P}} \right)^2 \right]$$

total white noise (P/2 each detector)

$$S_{\varphi 0} = \frac{16}{m^2} \left[ \frac{h\nu_{\lambda}}{\eta} \frac{1}{\overline{P}} + \frac{FkT_0}{R_0} \left( \frac{h\nu_{\lambda}}{q\eta} \right)^2 \left( \frac{1}{\overline{P}} \right)^2 \right]$$

# Flicker (1/f) noise

- \* experimentally determined (takes skill, time and patience)
- **\*** amplifier GaAs:  $b_{-1} \approx -100$  to -110 dBrad<sup>2</sup>/Hz, SiGe:  $b_{-1} \approx -120$  dBrad<sup>2</sup>/Hz
- **\* photodetector b**<sub>-1</sub> ≈ -120 dBrad<sup>2</sup>/Hz
   Rubiola & al. IEEE Trans. MTT (& JLT) 54 (2) p.816-820 (2006)
- \* mixer  $b_{-1} \approx -120 \text{ dBrad}^2/\text{Hz}$
- contamination from AM noise (delay => de-correlation => no sweet point (Rubiola-Boudot, IEEE Transact UFFC 54(5) p.926–932 (2007)
- \* optical fiber
- The phase flicker coefficient b<sub>-1</sub> is about independent of power
- in a cascade, (b<sub>-1</sub>)<sub>tot</sub> adds up, regardless of the device order



The Friis formula applies to white phase noise

$$b_0 = \frac{F_1 k T_0}{P_0} + \frac{(F_2 - 1)k T_0}{P_0 g_1^2} + \dots$$

In a cascade, the 1/f noise just adds up

$$(b_{-1})_{\text{tot}} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} (b_{-1})_i$$

### Single-channel instrument



• The laser RIN can limit the instrument sensitivity

• In some cases, the AM noise of the oscillator under test turns into a serious problem (got in trouble with an Anritsu synthesizer)

### Measurement of a sapphire oscillator



- The instrument noise scales as 1/τ, yet the blue and black plots overlap magenta, red, green => instrument noise blue, black => noise of the sapphire oscillator under test
- We can measure the 1/f<sup>3</sup> phase noise (frequency flicker) of a 10 GHz sapphire oscillator (the lowest-noise microwave oscillator)
- Low AM noise of the oscillator under test is necessary

# Basics of correlation spectrum measurements



| phase noise measurements |       |                  |
|--------------------------|-------|------------------|
| DUT noise,               | a, b  | instrument noise |
| normal use               | c     | DUT noise        |
| background,              | a, b  | instrument noise |
| ideal case               | c = 0 | no DUT           |
| background,              | a, b  | instrument noise |
| with AM noise            | c ≠ 0 | AM-to-DC noise   |

11

 $S_{yx} = \mathbb{E}\left\{YX^*\right\}$ W. K. theorem  $S_{yx} = \langle YX^* \rangle_m$ 

measured, m samples

a, b and c are incorrelated expand X = C - A and Y = C - B

 $S_{yx} = S_{cc}$  $S_{yx} = S_{cc} + O(\sqrt{1/m})$  a, b, c independent

measured, m samples

Averaging on a sufficiently large number *m* of spectra is necessary to reject the single-channel noise

# **Dual-channel (correlation) instrument**

Salik, Yu, Maleki, Rubiola, Proc. Ultrasonics-FCS Joint Conf., Montreal, Aug 2004 p.303-306



- \* uses cross spectrum to reduce the background noise
- requires two fully independent channels
- \* separate lasers for RIN rejection
- optical-input version is not useful because of the insufficient rejection of AM noise
- implemented at the FEMTO-ST Institute

### **Dual-channel (correlation) measurement**



the residual noise is clearly limited by the number of averaged spectra, m=200

Measurement of the optical-fiber noise



- matching the delays, the oscillator phase noise cancels
- this scheme gives the total noise

2 × (ampli + fiber + photodiode + ampli) + mixer

thus it enables only to assess an upper bound of the fiber noise

14

# Phase noise of the optical fiber



The method enables only to assess an upper bound of the fiber noise b<sub>-1</sub> ≤ 5×10<sup>-12</sup> rad<sup>2</sup>/Hz for L = 2 km (-113 dBrad<sup>2</sup>/Hz)
We believe that this residual noise is the signature of the two GaAs

power amplifier that drives the MZ modulator

# **Delay-line oscillator**



E. Rubiola, Phase Noise and Frequency Stability in Oscillators, Cambridge 2008

# **Delay-line oscillator**



- 1.310 nm DFB CATV laser
- Photodetector DSC 402 (R = 371 V/W)
- RF filter  $v_0 = 10$  GHz, Q = 125
- RF amplifier AML812PNB1901 (gain +22dB)

expected phase noise  $b_{-3} \approx 6.3 \times 10^{-4}$  (-32 dB)

17

Nonlinear model

#### Anger-Jacobi expansion



#### A complex envelope equation

The complex envelope amplitude of the microwave obeys the equation

 $\dot{\mathcal{A}} = -\mu \mathcal{A} - 2\mu \gamma e^{-i\sigma} \cdot \operatorname{Jc}_1[2|\mathcal{A}_T|]\mathcal{A}_T$  where  $\operatorname{Jc}_1(x) = \operatorname{J}_1(x)/x$  is the Bessel-cardinal function

 $\mu = \Delta \omega/2 =$  half-bandwith of the filter (=  $2\pi \times 10$  MHz)  $\gamma = \beta \sin 2\phi$  = effective normalized gain (can vary from -5 to 5)  $\sigma = \Omega_0 T$ =microwave round-trip phase shift

The solutions of interest are:

 $A(t) \equiv 0 \qquad (no oscillations)$   $A(t) \equiv C^{te} \neq 0 \quad (pure monochromatic)$ 

These states are *fixed points* of the envelope equation.

We have to study the existence and the stability of the fixed point solutions, particularly for the solution  $A(t)=C \neq 0$  which is of great technological interest. 19

Looks like sinus

cardinal, but the

maximum is  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

instead of 1

### Stability of the oscillating solution

It corresponds to the solution  $A(t) \equiv A_o \neq 0$  with

$$\mathrm{Jc}_1[2|\mathcal{A}_o|] = -\frac{1}{2\gamma} e^{i\sigma}$$

#### **Perturbation equation**

$$\delta \dot{\mathcal{A}} = -\mu \cdot \delta \mathcal{A} - 2\mu \gamma \{ \mathrm{Jc}_1[2|\mathcal{A}_o|] + 2|\mathcal{A}_o|\mathrm{Jc}_1'[2|\mathcal{A}_o|] \} \delta \mathcal{A}_T$$

#### Stability condition

$$\left|\frac{1}{2} + \frac{|\mathcal{A}_o|\mathrm{Jc}_1'[2|\mathcal{A}_o|]}{\mathrm{Jc}_1[2|\mathcal{A}_o|]}\right| < \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{fulfilled when} \quad 1 < \gamma < 2.3, \text{ when } e^{-i\sigma} = -1$$

#### What does occur beyond 2.3 ???



Х



the Fourier spectrum of OEOs

### Hopf bifurcation, observed



The Hopf bifurcation leads to the emergence of robust modulation side-peaks in the Fourier spectrum, which may drastically affect the phase noise performance of OEOs

### **Small resonators**

- \* Technology development in progress (quartz CaF<sub>2</sub>, MgF<sub>2</sub>)
- \* A bunch of technical problems (and Ryad Bendoula left)
- \* Taper coupling still problematic
- \* some interesting phenomena observed





#### **Raman** oscillations



- •The Raman amplification is a quantum phenomenon of nonlinear origin that involves optical phonons.
- •An amplifier inserted in a high-Q cavity turns into an oscillator, like masers and lasers.
- •Oscillation threshold ~ 1/Q<sup>2</sup>
- •In CaF2 pumped at 1.56  $\mu m,$  Raman oscillation occurs at 1.64  $\mu m$
- •Due to the large linewidth, the Raman oscillation appears as a bunch of (noisy) spectral lines spaced by the FSR (12 GHz, or 100 pm in our case)
- •Raman phonons modulate the optical properties of the crystal, which induces noise at the pump frequency (1.56 µm)

# High temperature gradient





•cross section of the field region 1  $\mu m^2$ 

- •CaF<sub>2</sub> thermal conductivity 9.5 W/mK
- dissipated power 300 μW
- •wavelength 1.56 μm
- •air temperature 300 K
- •still air thermal conductivity 10 W/m<sup>2</sup>K
- simplification: the heat flow from the mode region is uniform

inner bore at a reference temperature

24

#### bottom plane at a reference temperature



# Thermal effect on frequency



5.5 mm

•wavelength 1.56  $\mu$ m (v<sub>0</sub>=192 THz) •Q=5x10<sup>9</sup> -> BW=40 kHz

 a dissipated power of 300 μW shifts the resonant frequency by 1.2 MHz (6x10<sup>-9</sup>), i.e., 37.5 x BW

•time scale about 60 μs

•Q>10<sup>11</sup> is possible with CaF<sub>2</sub> and other crystals!!

laser scan



#### calibration (2 MHz phase modulation)



#### Low-power oscillator operation

D = 50 Ohm

with F=0 dB (!!!)

 $-1560 \, \text{nm}$ 

Accumo

| Assume.  | $\rho = 0.8 \text{ A/W}$     | $(P_{\lambda})_{peak} = 2x10^{-5} \text{ W} (20 \ \mu\text{W})$  |
|--|------------------------------|--|
|  |                              |  |
| Shot noise (n  | n=1)                         | Thermal noise (m=1)  |
| $I_{RMS} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \rho_{-}^{2}$              | $\overline{P}_{\lambda}$     | $I_{RMS} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \rho \overline{P}_{\lambda}$       |
| $S_I = 2q\overline{I} = 2q$                              | $ ho \overline{P}_{\lambda}$ | $S_I = \frac{4kT}{R}$ or $\frac{4FkT}{R}$                        |
| $SNR = \frac{1}{4} \frac{\rho \overline{P}_{\gamma}}{q}$ |                              | $SNR = \frac{1}{8} \frac{\rho^2 \overline{P}_{\lambda}^2 R}{kT}$ |
| In practice, -131 dBrad <sup>2</sup> /Hz                 |                              | In practice, –110 dBrad <sup>2</sup> /Hz                         |

- •Thermal noise is dominant: below threshold, SNR ~  $1/P_{\lambda^2}$
- Thermal noise can be reduced (10 dB or more?) using VGND amplifiers
- What about flicker of photodetectors with integrated VGND amplifier?
- •Dramatic impact on the (phase) noise floor

26